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A Clean Energy Jobs Fund (CEJF) could **kick-start a step change in green investment** by crowding in private investment and **generating millions of new jobs**.

The CEJF could translate an initial $35 billion capitalization into a total $105 billion investment capacity through borrowing and **drive almost $500 billion dollars of public and private investment** across key green sectors within its first five years through direct financing and private co-investment in projects. Over two decades, the CEJF could drive almost $2 trillion worth of investment.

Looking at a realistic investment portfolio for the CEJF across six climate mitigation sectors, the Fund could support the creation of **5.4 million new job-years in its first five years of operation**. As initial investments are repaid and then reinvested by the Fund, job creation would continue at approximately the same rate.

Clean investments generate more jobs than fossil fuel investments, for the same level of spending. In particular, the CEJF’s **clean transport and renewable energy sectors have huge job creation potential**, providing more than 60% of new jobs created.
A Clean Energy Jobs Fund – building on the established green bank model – can accelerate US public and private investment and fight climate change. A Clean Energy Jobs Fund (CEJF) can build on the proven green bank model already demonstrated at the state and local level in the US and at the national level in countries as diverse as the United Kingdom, Australia, India and South Africa. A CEJF using the green bank model, as envisaged in the 2019 National Climate Bank Act, could maximize climate and economic impact by crowding in multiple private dollars for every dollar of public funding invested. Investment into the CEJF through a $35 billion federal capitalization, as set out in the 2019 Act, has the potential to unlock substantially greater levels of investment: alongside increased public investment, the CEJF can invest smartly alongside private finance to mobilize billions of dollars in additional investment and catalyze longer term changes by accelerating the commercial viability and private financing of climate investments.

Climate banks have a track record of creating new jobs and the CEJF can play a key role supporting a green recovery. Alongside supporting climate change action, climate banks like the CEJF also support economic growth and employment. For example, Michigan Saves, Michigan’s non-profit climate bank, estimates that every dollar it holds in reserves translates into at least $30 of private investment that flows back into the state’s economy – and by investing $220 million in energy improvements between 2010 and 2019 the climate bank supported approximately 4,400 full-time jobs. Building on the demonstrated economic impacts already flowing from sub-national US green and climate banks, the CEJF can initiate a step-change in national green investment while also driving forward a green recovery from the Covid-19 health and economic crises.

This report summarizes key messages from an analysis of the job creation impact potential of the CEJF. To assess the economic impact from the CEJF at the national level, this analysis applies a three step modelling process to consider the total new investment that can be driven by the CEJF, how that financing might be deployed within the CEJF’s target sectors, and how investments in those sectors translate to new jobs. Future analysis during 2020 will expand on this assessment through state-specific analysis to quantify the climate mitigation, economic growth and job creation benefits from the CEJF in more detail.

Economic impacts from the CEJF are quantified through three key analytical steps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial modelling</th>
<th>Portfolio allocation</th>
<th>Job creation potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>› Balance sheet leverage</td>
<td>› Portfolio allocation of total investment</td>
<td>› Direct jobs from new investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>› Private sector mobilization</td>
<td>across target sectors</td>
<td>› Indirect jobs through supply chains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>› Revenue recycling</td>
<td>› Real-world investment cases within target sectors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Vivid Economics

1 Coalition for Green Capital (2020) The National Climate Bank Act
How a Clean Energy Jobs Fund would work

The proposed Clean Energy Jobs Fund is a non-profit corporation whose mission is to invest in projects that alleviate the environmental impacts of carbon-related activity. It is nonpartisan, will have a bipartisan board of directors, and will be a 501c3 tax-exempt entity.

If funded by Congress, the CEJF will be allocated $35 billion of capital by the federal government, with any residual capital returning to the government after authorized operation. Its debt is not guaranteed by the government.

The CEJF aims at maximizing job creation per public dollar invested, as long as investments meet three conditions:

1. Mitigate the environmental impacts of carbon-related economic activity through greenhouse gas emissions reduction or increased climate resilience.
2. Make communities, consumers and businesses impacted by the investments better off.
3. Have a broad portfolio of risk-adjusted investments, with at least 20% of the portfolio made of investments that benefit low-income, minority, underserved, frontline and/or just transition communities.

The Fund will help create, invest in and partner with green banks at the regional, state and local level to support smaller, community-scale investments. It will also be authorized to invest directly in projects of national or regional scale.

CEJF investments will create clean energy jobs across the country, with special priority given to states where unemployment is highest. It is likely that this will closely overlap with states that contribute substantially to the US’s greenhouse gas emissions as these states’ economies are likely to be less focused on service sectors and more emissions intensive.

Source: Coalition for Green Capital
The CEJF could drive $500 billion dollars of investment across key green sectors within five years

The CEJF can use a range of approaches to maximize the impact from its federal capitalization and support its target sectors. The CEJF could use a wide range of financing and investment tools to support investment in priority sectors that can deliver both new green jobs and support climate action, including in the seven priority sectors set out in the 2019 National Climate Bank Act: (i) agriculture, (ii) renewable energy, (iii) grid technology, (iv) building efficiency, (v) industrial decarbonization, (vi) clean transport and (vii) climate-resilient infrastructure.

Financial modeling for the CEJF suggests it could leverage an initial public capitalisation of $35 billion into half a trillion dollars in investment over its first five years. Based on the initial public capitalisation of $35 billion, the financial model assumes the CEJF can leverage the balance sheet of CEJF through bond issuances for a total initial capitalisation of $105 billion (a 3:1 ratio of public to total capital). This initial capitalisation is split across four different example and common financing instruments for green banks: (i) market-terms loans, (ii) soft loans, (iii) equity investments, and (iv) guarantees. Based on deal structuring and examples seen from previous green and climate banks, different instruments can crowd in additional private co-investment at levels ranging from $2.4 to $6.5 private dollars per $1 of public financing. Any returns from interest and other payments can be recycled by the CEJF and allocated to new lending. By combining the balance sheet leverage, instrument-level private sector co-investment and revenue recycling, the CEJF could deliver a total of $500 billion worth of investments over its first five years. In addition to this central scenario, low and high cases for balance sheet leverage and private capital mobilization were tested, resulting in a range of $350-$700 billion in total investment mobilized over the CEJF’s first five years of operation. Over a 20-year period, the total amount of direct and co-investment supported by the CEJF could reach $1.7 trillion under the central case. The Technical Report accompanying this analysis (forthcoming) provides more details on modelling approaches, key assumptions and data sources.

The investment portfolio for the CEJF considered in this analysis sets out an allocation of investment capital to support an executable investment plan for the CEJF. The portfolio is based on the demonstrated ten year track record of on-the-ground investment by actual green banks, also balancing the capacity of sectors to absorb the proposed levels of new investments, the potential of sectors to deliver job creation per public dollar and the need to deliver balanced investment to reduce GHG emissions. Prioritizing any of these factors would lead to different portfolio allocations and end results – the portfolio presented in this analysis aims to provide a balance anchored in targeting areas of demonstrated investment potential to identify a realistic and executable allocation across investment areas and technologies. This central scenario portfolio was developed through three analytical steps:

1. **Reviewing US green banks’ current allocation trends across the six target mitigation sectors to identify an initial allocation.** For example, renewable energy investments make up 55% of New York Green Bank’s investment portfolio, with grid technology at 9%, building efficiency at 25%, and transport at 10%.2
2. **Verifying that the portfolio is aligned with US future decarbonization trends.** A similar portfolio to that of New York Green Bank means that the CEJF could finance up to a quarter of the required investment in electricity generation facilities to achieve an 80% greenhouse gas reduction below 1990 levels by 2050.3
3. **Checking that generation capacity forecasts for different technologies are feasible for the levels of proposed CEJF investment, particularly for renewable energy technologies.** Costing the U.S. Energy

---

Information Administration’s outlook of renewable electricity generation using International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA) data allows us to estimate the share of each renewable within CEJF’s portfolio.4

Alongside this central scenario, two variations are also included:

- **Renewables & grid technology portfolio**: Although fossil fuel use has declined in recent years, renewable energy sources still account for a relatively small share in US consumption and generation – 11% of total energy consumption and 17% of electricity generation in 2018.5 This CEJF portfolio variation allocates greater investment into renewables and the associated grid infrastructure.

- **Transport & industrial decarbonization portfolio**: Transport and industry together account for around 50% of total emissions in the US and are characterized by technologies that are early-stage in their decarbonization transitions.6 This CEJF portfolio variation allocates greater investment into hard-to-decarbonize and emissions-intensive sectors of clean transportation and industrial decarbonization.

Within each sector, the analysis considers real-world investment cases to model hypothetical investments the CEJF is likely to support. The use of real-world investment cases for crucial technologies for each of the key sectors identified by the 2019 Act is key to modelling economic impacts resulting from the construction and operation phase of the CEJF’s investments. To provide a profile of potential investments across sectors, the analysis draws on investment costs and profiles based on Vivid Economics’s Vivid Intervention Database, Department of Energy investment analysis and costs, and past investments by US green banks.7

This analysis uses 11 real-world investments across 6 target sectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Agriculture</th>
<th>Renewables</th>
<th>Grid Technology</th>
<th>Building Efficiency</th>
<th>Industrial Decarbonization</th>
<th>Clean Transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definition</strong></td>
<td>Includes forestry (afforestation, reforestation), land conservation, regenerative agriculture, waste management</td>
<td>Includes solar, wind, geothermal, hydro, ocean and hydrokinetic and fuel cell</td>
<td>Includes transmission, distribution, and storage to support clean energy distribution, and smart-grid applications</td>
<td>Includes energy efficiency, fuel switching, electrification, heating and cooling</td>
<td>Includes decarbonization of energy-intensive industries such as iron, steel, cement, refining and chemicals</td>
<td>Includes battery electric vehicles, plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, hydrogen vehicles, vehicle charging and fueling infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment Case(s)</strong></td>
<td>Reforestation</td>
<td>Onshore wind</td>
<td>Energy storage</td>
<td>Rooftop solar panels</td>
<td>Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>Electric vehicles Charging stations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CEJF Investment Share</strong></td>
<td>5% (3% / 10%)</td>
<td>40% (45% / 35%)</td>
<td>10% (15% / 5%)</td>
<td>15% (17% / 10%)</td>
<td>16% (11% / 21%)</td>
<td>14% (9% / 19%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note**: CEJF Investment Share shows the central case portfolio allocation as well as variations on the central scenario included in parentheses (Renewables & grid technology focus / Transport & industrial decarbonization focus).

**Source**: Vivid Economics

---


7 More details on specific investment cases are available in the accompanying Technical Report.
This assessment uses Vivid Economics’ Investment Impact Model (IIM) to assess the CEJF’s job creation potential within the six target sectors identified above and throughout their supply chains. The IIM includes detailed breakdowns of how investment into a sector creates new one-off and ongoing transactions that increase economic activity and employment in associated supporting sectors. The IIM is used to assess the job creation potential from financing – including both CEJF financing and private co-investment – over the first five years of investment by the CEJF. Job creation is measured in job-years for both direct jobs (resulting from the investment itself, through construction or ongoing maintenance and operations) and indirect jobs (created throughout supply chains as a result of investments).

CEJF investments can deliver 5.4 million new job-years in the Fund’s first five years of operation

Economic impact modelling suggests the CEJF could deliver between 3.4 million and 8.7 million new job-years across different financing and investment portfolio scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial mobilization</th>
<th>Portfolio scenario</th>
<th>Renewables &amp; grid technology focus</th>
<th>Transport &amp; industrial decarbonization focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low mobilization</td>
<td>Central case</td>
<td>3,400,000</td>
<td>4,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central case</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,800,000</td>
<td>6,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High mobilization</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,800,000</td>
<td>8,700,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Vivid Economics

Economic impact modelling suggests the CEJF could deliver between 3.4 million and 8.7 million new job-years over a five-year period, with 5.4 million job-years created in the central case. To put this in perspective, in 2019 there were 6 million unemployed people in the US, which increased to 23.1 million unemployed people in April 2020. Depending on the scenario, the CEJF has the potential to generate 10 to 12 new jobs per $1 million invested. While job creation falls in the range of 3.9 to 7.7 million job-years in the central portfolio, the alternative Transport & industrial decarbonization portfolio has a relatively higher potential for job creation (around 15% higher) and the Renewables & grid technology portfolio as a relatively lower potential for job creation (around 10% lower), reflecting different job intensities for the respective focus sectors. Within the central case:

- Investment sectors with the highest job creation potential are renewable energy and clean transportation, accounting (around 62% of jobs created), followed by industrial decarbonization (around 14%).

---

8 IIM is based on a sectoral disaggregation of economic activity encompassing granular input-output tables. The tool is based on the multiplier effect that each sector has in the economy, where the coefficients can be interpreted as by how much total demand in the economy must increase to meet the increased output from the shock (of investments) in each target sector. The job creation assessment does not include ‘induced’ jobs created by additional spending by households due to higher levels of income.

9 Job-years refer to years of work created under a new job, and is a helpful measure as it captures the total job creation impact across different types of jobs and to enable comparison with estimated job impacts from other interventions or investments.


At the portfolio level, **direct jobs** in the target sectors are **1.5 times higher** than indirect jobs.

Different sectors create different numbers of new jobs for a given level of investment based on the share of investment across capital and operational expenses, and on how much of investment costs goes towards labor costs versus other investment inputs.\(^\text{12}\)

The **CEJF can support jobs across the US and across a wide range of professions**. The sectors targeted by the CEJF in this analysis employ individuals in every state. While some investment areas may be more relevant in certain regions of the country – particularly utility-scale solar energy, wind power and agriculture – the example portfolio considered in this analysis supports investment across the US. Many investment areas will be needed in every state and community, such as grid technology, building efficiency and clean transport, while industrial decarbonization may offer particular opportunities in manufacturing-focused areas of the US. New jobs created by the CEJF will go beyond technical or installation jobs – almost half of the current jobs in the US clean energy industry are in administration, management and sales.\(^\text{13}\) Direct jobs created by the CEJF will similarly support a wide range of professions – and indirect jobs created through supply chains will be even broader across the economy. However, all jobs created will contribute to reducing emissions and to the goal of reaching zero emissions in 2050.

The employment potential of the CEJF may be even higher, as this analysis does not take into account the CEJF’s potential to drive broader market changes in investment patterns and to support climate innovation and help develop new technologies and green industries. However, this assessment also does not account for potential ‘displacement’ of existing jobs within the economy, for example jobs created in the renewables sector may be filled by existing energy workers rather than drawing in new or unemployed workers. It also does not consider potential supply constraints if the labor market tightens, particularly in key sectors such as construction.

**In the central scenario, CEJF investments can deliver 5.4 million new job-years**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Portfolio allocation</th>
<th>CEJF investment ($ billion)</th>
<th>Private co-investment ($ billion)</th>
<th>Total investment ($ billion)</th>
<th>Direct job-years (million)</th>
<th>Indirect job-years (million)</th>
<th>Total job-years (million)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewables</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid Technology</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Efficiency</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Decarbonization</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Transport</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>118</strong></td>
<td><strong>381</strong></td>
<td><strong>498</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.28</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.15</strong></td>
<td><strong>5.43</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers may not sum to totals due to rounding. Job numbers are presented in job-years. Total CEJF investment of $118 billion reflects the initial $35 billion capital endowment, $70 billion in borrowed capital, and $13 billion in capital recycling over five years.

Source: Vivid Economics

\(^{12}\) For example, ‘Clean transport’ has a relatively higher job creation potential due to the initial investment generating a high number of ongoing jobs, and partly a consequence of the low CAPEX:OPEX ratios compared to other investment cases, and a high labor share of output in vehicle manufacturing sectors.
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